

2019-20 Sr Rubric

Task	Not Acceptable	2 (Ok)	3 (Solid)	4 (Eminent)
Brainstorming and Creativity: Considering different project options. Looking at different ways to execute project elements.	No evidence	Cocktail napkin notes	Visual or textual evidence laying out multiple ideas for a senior project and project parts. Some evidence for considering different options.	More detailed notes. Documented weighing of options using some type of decision making criteria.
Peer Reviews: Participating in the peer review process.	No evidence	Has some peer review forms complete but was not an active participant. Was difficult to schedule or did not attend all sessions.	Saved peer review forms. Attended all required sessions, participated, gave and accepted feedback.	Saved peer review forms. Was professional throughout and also showed leadership in scheduling sessions. Was active in engaging peers during the sessions.
Proposal: Following the proposal process in order to create a meaningful project. Shows professional behavior in proposing project.	No or little evidence. Not an active participant. No elevator pitch. Unprofessional.	Filled out HRush proposal at a minimum level. Proposal not well developed. Elevator pitch was improvised. No professional polish.	HRush forms filled out in detail and incorporate feedback from peers and staff. Elevator pitch polished and rehearsed. Some professional polish but could use a little more refinement (bow tie?)	HRush forms detailed, shows evidence of multiple drafts based on critical feedback. Elevator pitch is perfect. Shows professionalism through dress, confidence, and preparation.
Risk Taking: Willingness to try new things, and to push the edges of the comfort zone.	Needed to be spoon fed ideas. Was unwilling to push outside of established comfort zone.	Most ideas were recycled from others. Needs a lot of push to get out of comfort zone. Played it safe.	Was able to come up with some fresh options that differed from previous projects. Ideas required student to try things they had never attempted before without a lot of pushing.	Evel Kniefel jumping the Grand Canyon while blindfolded, in a flaming Prius full of knife-wielding monkeys.
Confidence: Do you approach the project, or does it have to be brought to you?	Student struggled to approach even basic tasks unless someone was holding their hand.	Student engaged willing in project tasks, contacted outside experts and sources with assistance. Showed some initiative in starting project tasks.		Arnold Schwarzenegger circa 1973. Tiger Woods circa 2000. Rhonda Rousey circa 2015. Go watch some video...

Passion For Learning	D.O.A. Has no idea what to do for a project. Project work only happens when beaten into it.	Created their own idea for the project, but idea is small, and student struggled to dig into it.	Created a strong project with unique components that will be fun yet challenging. Student should have no problem reaching 300 hours.	300 hours? Sorry, I thought you said 3,000.
Documentation of Time and Learning	Inconsistent time logs. Little or no pics and vids. No journaling. You said you did it, but there's no proof...	Time logs are done consistently but lack details. Some pics and vids to back-up time logs.	Time logs are a solid journal. A stranger could read them and have a good idea about what you've accomplished. Journals are reflective in nature and document challenges and growth. Pictures and videos are evidence of major project happenings, and show progress over time.	You could publish your time logs and pictures. They are readable, descriptive, and are so detailed that reading them feels like a trip inside your mind.
Pitch	No project pitch attempted, or pitch was not at all rehearsed, typed, and was unprofessional.	Pitch was written and presented, possibly practiced but overall lacks polish and passion. Not memorized. Unprofessional.	Pitch was polished and showed evidence of rewrites and rehearsal. Was presented with confidence, passion, and shows engagement in project.	If you met Bill Gates in an elevator, he probably just gave you a cool million to help get your project done.
Project Paper	Wikipedia 2.0. Paper has no goal, no soul. Plagiarism evident. Lots of copy and paste. No organization.	Paper has some basic organization but lacks a clear goal or focus. Numerous grammatical errors/spelling errors. No or poor citations. Sources are web only.	Paper is organized and is focused with a clear goal/thesis. Ideas are original and are trying to make a difference.	
Product (Student Defined)				
Community Piece (Student Defined)				
Presentation				
Community Experts				